1	
2	Title 5. EDUCATION
3	Division 1. California Department of Education
4	Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula
5	Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for
6	Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability
7	Plan Template
8	Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for
9	Supplemental and Concentration Grants
10	
11	§ 15494. Scope.
12	(a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in
13	section 15495(d).
14	(b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local
15	control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils
16	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03.
17	(c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services
18	are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and
19	improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas.
20	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
21	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
22	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
23	<u>6312.</u>
24	
25	§ 15495. Definitions.
26	In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and
27	42238.02, the following definitions are provided:
28	(a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and
29	47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other
30	numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of
31	the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil
32	advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups
33	representing pupils.

1	(b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections				
2	52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county				
3	superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English				
4	learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a				
5	majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in				
6	Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a				
7	county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English				
8	learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these				
9	requirements.				
10	(c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA				
11	pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in				
12	conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5.				
13	(d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of				
14	education, or charter school.				
15	(e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other				
16	persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to				
17	Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or				
18	56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions.				
19	(f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and				
20	52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of				
21	pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education				
22	Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county				
23	superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory				
24	committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including				
25	any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind				
26	Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of				
27	Title I of that act.				
28	(g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for				
29	which an LCAP is approved.				
30	(h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not				
31	limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities,				
32	pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to				
33	operate and deliver educational instruction and related services.				

1	(i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections			
2	52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities			
3	identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the			
4	nature of the program operated by the charter school.			
5	(j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuant			
6	to Education Code section 52052.			
7	(k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality.			
8	(I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity.			
9	(m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the			
10	definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible			
11	for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners.			
12	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:			
13	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,			
14	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section			
15	<u>6312.</u>			
16				
17	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services			
17 18	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for			
18	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for			
18 19	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.			
18 19 20	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding			
18 19 20 21	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils,			
18 19 20 21 22	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to			
18 19 20 21 22 23	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants. (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows:			

1	(2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for			
2	unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on			
3	services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14			
4	shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in			
5	the 2012-13 fiscal year.			
6	(3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1).			
7	(4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage			
8	calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide			
9	funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in			
10	the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.			
11	(5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2).			
12	(6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant			
13	to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code			
14	sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted			
15	Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation			
16	program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.			
17	(7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6).			
18	(8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero			
19	or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its			
20	percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target			
21	attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant			
22	to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is			
23	adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the			
24	Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School			
25	Transportation program.			
26	(b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds			
27	apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for			
28	districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education			
29	Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved			
30	services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to			
31	upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter			
32	school, or a county office of education as follows:			

1	(1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or			
2	more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or			
3	in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a			
4	districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of			
5	the following:			
6	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a			
7	districtwide basis.			
8	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and			
9	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and			
10	any local priority areas.			
11	(2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55			
12	percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted			
13	may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A			
14	school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following:			
15	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a			
16	districtwide basis.			
17	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and			
18	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and			
19	any local priority areas.			
20	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the			
21	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The			
22	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,			
23	any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational			
24	theory.			
25	(3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is			
26	40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP			
27	is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds			
28	on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do			
29	all of the following:			
30	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a			
31	schoolwide basis.			

1	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and				
2	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and				
3	any local priority areas.				
4	(4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40				
5	percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is				
6	adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide				
7	basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the				
8	following:				
9	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a				
10	schoolwide basis.				
11	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and				
12	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and				
13	any local priority areas.				
14	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the				
15	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The				
16	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,				
17	any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational				
18	theory.				
19	(5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant				
20	funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and				
21	concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following:				
22	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a				
23	countywide or charterwide basis.				
24	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and				
25	are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its				
26	unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable.				
27	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:				
28	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,				
29	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section				
30	<u>6312.</u>				
31					
32	§ 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of				
33	Proportionality.				

1	In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3),
2	the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of
3	districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through
4	(b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will
5	increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a
6	county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district
7	has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated
8	pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school
9	district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071.
10	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
11	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
12	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
13	<u>6312.</u>
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

Introduction: Mattole Unified School District is a small, rural k-12 school district in a remote part of Humboldt County. MUSD is comprised of two elementary schools and one high school, totaling approximately 55 students. All three district schools qualify as necessary small schools. In the 2013-2014 school year, of the 65 students enrolled in the district, approximately 42% qualified for the federal free and reduced lunch program. There were 0 foster youth and 1 English language learner. Due to the low enrollment numbers, some district data are kept internally, but not reported publicly in order to protect the confidentiality of the students.

LEA: Mattole Unified School District Contact: Richard Graey, Superintendent, rgraey@hotmail.com (707) 629-3311 LCAP Year: 2015-16

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or

annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
3/12/15 - LCAP stakeholder input meeting was held with the local bargaining unit.	Goals were confirmed and actions and services were developed based on teacher, advisory group and parent and community input.
4/14/15 - LCAP stakeholder meeting was held for parents, students and community members. There were seven attendees. 4/16/15 (Honeydew SSC – 83.33% attendance rate), 4/21/15 (Mattole SSC – 80% attendance rate), 4/22/15 (Triple Junction SSC – 100% attendance rate) - LCAP stakeholder meetings were held at school site council meetings at all three district schools. 4/9/15, 5/14/15 – LCAP discussions were held at school board meetings. All five board members were present.	The staff felt strongly that having a full-time administrator would help with student achievement, student engagement and parent involvement. The SSCs and the parent/community group want to see more of a focus on student achievement and long-term planning. They also wanted a focus on reading intervention and more of a focus on facilities, maintenance and grounds.
5/18/15 – Draft LCAP was emailed to staff for input and review.	
6/4/15 - A public hearing was held to review the revised draft LCAP.	
Annual Update:	Annual Update:
3/12/15 – Annual update review meeting with the local bargaining unit.	Needs were assessed as a result of the school board, staff and advisory council members reviewing data from the annual update.
4/14/15 - Annual update meeting was held with parents, students and	
community members. There were seven attendees.	Actions and services were developed based on teacher and
	advisory group input and based on the review of the 2014-2015
4/16/15 (Honeydew SSC – 83.33% attendance rate), 4/21/15 (Mattole SSC – 80% attendance rate), 4/22/15 (Triple Junction SSC – 100% attendance rate) – Annual Update stakeholder meetings were held at school site council meetings at all three district schools.	data.
4/9/15, 5/14/15 – Annual update discussions were held at school board meetings. All five board members were present.	
5/18/15 – Draft Annual Update was emailed to staff for input and review.	

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Goal 1:

Improve student performance outcomes in the core academic areas.

1__ 2__ 3__ 4_X_ 5__ 6__ 7__ 8_X_ COE only: 9__ 10__ Local: Specify ____

2012-2013 STAR scores:

Mattole Elementary -

E/LA: 61% Proficient or Advanced Math: 60% Proficient or Advanced

Honeydew Elementary -

E/LA: 25% Proficient or Advanced Math: 25% Proficient or Advanced **Mattole Triple Junction High School**-E/LA: 80% Proficient or Advanced Math: 80% Proficient or Advanced

2013-2014 AP exam scores of 3 or higher: 0

2013-2014 EAP:

100% of enrolled 11th graders participated and were college prepared (rating of Exempt)

2014-2015 EAP: Data not yet available

Identified Need:

10th graders passing CAHSEE in 2014 - 2015:

E/LA - 100% Math - 100%

2014-2015 PSAT and SAT participation:

SAT – 100% of all enrolled 11th graders

PSAT – 100% of all enrolled 11th graders, 60% of 10th graders

2014-2015 A-G requirements met: 66.6% of seniors

EL reclassification rate: This data is unknown. The district currently has one EL student who has not been reclassified. The district had other EL students approximately 10 years ago.

English proficiency rate for EL students: This data is unknown. The district currently has one EL student who is not proficient. The district had other EL students approximately 10 years ago.

2012-2013 API: 791

Goal Applies to: Schools: All					
Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All					
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16				
	Metric AP Exam rate (Triple Junction High School)	Outcome Increase rate of students scoring 3 or higher on an AP Exam by 100% (Triple Junction High School)			
	EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School) Establish a baseline for CAASPP scores (all district schools)			
	CAASPP results (all district schools)	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores (Triple Junction High School) PSAT and SAT participation (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT (Triple Junction High School)			
	1 5711 and 5711 participation (111pic junction ringh School)	Establish a baseline API score (all district schools)			
	Academic Performance Index (API) (all district schools)	Increase the number of students completing A-G requirements by 33.3% (Triple Junction High School)			
	A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)	Increase EL reclassification rate (all district schools)			
	EL reclassification rate	Increase the share of ELs becoming English proficient (all district schools)			
	English proficiency rate for EL students				
A	Actions/Services Scope of Service Pupils to be served within identified scope of service Budgeted Expenditures				

Employ highly qualified teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL	\$245,861 –
Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Base - 1100 & 3000 \$3,000 - Base - 4110
Provide professional development for teachers in core academic areas, including CCSS			\$5,000 – Base 5210
Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT			0
Employ two part-time instructional aides to provide a smaller adult to student ratio	LEA-wide	ALL	\$17,289 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention		OR: _X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2,660 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase school counselor's FTE to include group counseling			\$8,347 – Sup/Con – 2218 & 3000
Professional development on positive school climate, including PBIS training			\$1,500 – Sup/Con – 5210
Provide backpacks, school and home supplies to students in unduplicated count			\$1,248 – Sup/Con – 4310
For all goals 1-4			

programs		OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient	
Increase the FTE of a highly qualified teacher with CLAD certification to reduce the number of grade levels in each classroom and to work with an EL student	Other Subgroups:(Specify)		\$9,680 – Sup/Con – 1100 & 3000
Employ two part-time instructional aides to lower the adult to student ratio			\$17,289 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention			\$2,660 - Sup/Con -
For all goals 1-4			2100 & 3000

	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Outcome</u>			
	AP Exam rate (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain rate of students scoring 3 or higher on an AP Exam			
		(Triple Junction High School)			
	EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High			
		School)			
Expected Annual	CAASPP results (all district schools)	Increase in CAASPP scores by 2% (all district schools)			
Measurable					
Outcomes:	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores (Triple	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)			
	Junction High School)				
		Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT (Triple Junction			
	PSAT and SAT results (Triple Junction High School)	High School)			
	4 1 4 D 6 4 D 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 ADV 1 20/ () 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	Academic Performance Index (API) (all district schools)	Increase in API score by 2% (all district schools)			
	A.C. and all the states (M. Calada, L. and Calada, D.	Matatata and a control of the state of the s			
	A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain number of students completing			
		A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)			

EL reclassification rate	EL reclassification rate		hools)
English proficiency rate for EL stud	lents	Increase the share of ELs becoming English pr district schools)	oficient (all
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ highly qualified teachers Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum as it is available Provide professional development for teachers in core academic areas, including CCSS Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT	LEA-wide	X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$254,714 - Base - 1100 & 3000 \$3,000 - Base - 4110 \$5,000 - Base - 5210
Employ two part-time instructional aides to lower the adult to student ratio Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention	LEA-wide	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$18,270 - Sup/Con - 2100 & 3000 \$2,715 - Sup/Con - 2100 & 3000
Increase school counselor's FTE to provide small group and whole class instruction Professional development on positive school climate, including PBIS			\$8,517 – Sup/Con – 2218 & 3000 \$1,500 –
Provide backpacks, school and home supplies for students in unduplicated count For all goals 1-4			Sup/Con - 5210 \$1,248 – Sup/Con - 4310

Administer the CELDT test	LEA-wide	ALL		0
Offer all information in variety of languages and allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		Foster You	ne pupils <u>X</u> English Learners uth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient ogroups:(Specify)	0
Increase the FTE of a highly qualified teacher with CLAD certification to decrease the number of grades per classroom and to work with EL student				\$10,055 – Sup/Con – 1100 & 3000
Employ two part-time instructional aides to reduce the adult to student ratio				\$18,270 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention				\$2,715 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
For all goals 1-4				
	LCAP '	Year 3 : 2017-18	3	
<u>Metric</u>			<u>Outcome</u>	
AP Exam participation rate (Triple	Junction High	School)	Maintain rate of students scoring 3 or higher	on an AP Exam

	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Outcome</u>
	AP Exam participation rate (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain rate of students scoring 3 or higher on an AP Exam
		(Triple Junction High School)
	EAP College Preparedness rate (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School)
Expected Annual	CAASPP results (all district schools)	Increase in CAASPP scores by 2% (all district schools)
Measurable Outcomes:	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)
	,,	Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT (Triple Junction
	PSAT and SAT results (Triple Junction High School)	High School)
	Academic Performance Index (API) (all district schools)	Increase in API score by 2% (all district schools)
	A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain the number of students completing A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)

EL reclassification rate		Increase EL reclassification rate (all district sc	hools)
English proficiency rate for EL stud	lents	Increase the share of ELs becoming English pr district schools)	oficient (all
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ highly qualified teachers Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum as available	LEA-wide	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$263,752 - Base - 1100 & 3000 \$3,000 - Base - 4110
Provide professional development for teachers in core academic areas, including CCSS and in needed area for achieving highly qualified status Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT			\$5,000 – Base – 5210
Employ two part-time instructional aides to reduce the adult to student ratio	LEA-wide	ALLOR:X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	\$19,249 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention		X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2,855 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase school counselor's FTE to work with small groups and whole classes			\$8,630 – Sup/Con – 2218 & 3000
Professional development on positive school climate, including PBIS			\$1,500 – Sup/Con – 5210
Provide backpacks, school and home supplies to students in the unduplicated count For all goals 1-4			\$1,248 – Sup/Con - 4310

Administer the CELDT test	LEA-wide	ALL	0
Offer all information in variety of languages and allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		OR: Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	0
Increase the FTE of a highly qualified teacher with CLAD certification to reduce the number of grades per class and to work with EL student			\$10,439 – Sup/Con – 1100 & 3000
Employ two part-time instructional aides to reduce the adult to student ratio			\$19,249 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Increase the FTE of an instructional aide to oversee reading intervention			\$2,855 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
For all goals 1-4			_ 133 3. 3000

GOAL 2:

Ensure that all Mattole Unified School District students have ready access and ample opportunity to learn in environments that reflect 21st century learning.

	2013-2014 AP exam scores of 3 or higher: 0	
	2013-2014 EAP: 100% of enrolled 11 th graders participated and were college prepa 2014-2015 EAP: Data not yet available	ared (rating of Exempt)
	2012-2013 STAR scores: Mattole Elementary – E/LA: 61% Proficient or Advanced Math: 60% Proficient or Advanced	
Identified Need:	Honeydew Elementary – E/LA: 25% Proficient or Advanced Math: 25 % Proficient or Advanced	
	Mattole Triple Junction High School- E/LA: 80% Proficient or Advanced Math: 80% Proficient or Advanced	
	10 th graders passing CAHSEE in 2014 – 2015: E/LA – 100% Math – 100%	
	2014-2015 PSAT and SAT participation: SAT – SAT – 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders PSAT – 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders, 60% of 10 th graders	
	Number of teachers misassigned: 2	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Metric AP Exam rate (Triple Junction High School)	Outcome Increase rate of students scoring 3 or higher on an AP Exam by 100% (Triple Junction High School)
	EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High

				School)	
	CAASPP results (all district schools)			Increase in CAASPP scores by 2% (all district s	schools)
	CAHSEE scores (Triple Junction High School)			Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction Hi	gh School)
	SAT and PSAT results (Triple Junction High School)			Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT p (Triple Junction High School)	participation
	A-G completion rates (Triple Juncti	ion High Schoo	1)	Increase number of students completing A-G r 33.3%(Triple Junction High School)	equirements by
	Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study (all district schools)			Maintain student access and enrollment in all study for all students including low income, fo English learners and student with disabilities (schools)	ster youth,
	Rate of teacher misassignment (all district schools)			Decrease the number of teachers who are misa (all district schools)	assigned by 1
	Rate of students with access to standards-aligned instruction materials (all district schools)			Maintain rate of 100% for students with acces aligned instructional materials (all district sch	
	Rate of students using CCSS math curriculum, including EL (all district schools)			Maintain rate of 100% for students using CCSS curriculum (all district schools)	
Ac	Facilities Inspection Tool (all district schools) Actions/Services Scope of		Punils to b	Maintain Good or Excellent rating on FIT (all dose served within identified scope of service	Budgeted
	Employ highly qualified teachers		X ALL	served within identified scope of service	Expenditures \$245,861 –
Develop plan and enroll all misasssigned teachers in appropriate training leading to highly qualified status		LEA-wide	OR:Low Income	e pupilsEnglish Learners thRedesignated fluent English proficient roups:(Specify)	Base – 1100 & 3000 \$5,000 – Base – 5210

Purchase CCSS math c	urriculum	\$2,000 – Base, Lottery - 4110
	nto instructional program in all pjects with a one-to-one device to	\$15,312 – Base, Lottery - 4445
Employ maintenance	staff	\$44,426 – Base – 2213 & 3000
	courses including AP and A-G and career readiness	
	LCAP Year 2 : 2016-17	
	<u>Metric</u> AP Exam rate (Triple Junction High School)	<u>Outcome</u> Maintain rate of students scoring 3 or higher on an AP Exam (Triple Junction High School)
	EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School)
	CAASPP results (all district schools)	Increase in CAASPP scores by 2% (all district schools)
Expected Annual	CAHSEE scores	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)
Measurable Outcomes:	SAT and PSAT results (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT participation (Triple Junction High School)
A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)		Maintain number of students completing A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)
	Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study (all district schools)	Maintain student access and enrollment in all required areas of study for all students including low income, foster youth, English learners and student with disabilities (all district schools)
	Rate of teacher misassignment (all district schools)	Decrease the number of teachers who are misassigned by 1

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Metric AP Exam rates (Triple Junction High School)		Outcome Maintain rate of students scoring 3 or higher (Triple Junction High School)	on an AP Exam		
		LCAP Ye	ear 3: 2017-18		
appropriate training le Purchase CCSS E/LA co Integrate technology in classrooms and all sub student ratio Employ maintenance	courses including AP and A-G		Foster Yout Other Subg	e pupilsEnglish Learners hRedesignated fluent English proficient roups:(Specify)	\$5,000 - Base - 5210 \$5,000 - Base, Lottery - 4110 \$15,312 - Base, Lottery - 4445 \$46,239 - Base - 2213 & 3000
Employ highly qualifie	d teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$254,714 – Base – 1100
Ac	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to b	schools) De served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	Rate of students using CCSS English/Language Arts curriculum, including EL (all district schools)		Increase rate of students using CCSS E/LA curriculum to 100% as curriculum becomes available (all district schools) Maintain Good or Excellent rating on the FIT (all district		
	materials (all district schools) Rate of students using CCSS math curriculum, including EL (all			aligned instructional materials (all district schools) Maintain rate of 100% for students using CCSS math curriculum (all district schools)	
	Rate of students with access to standards-aligned instructional			(all district schools) Maintain rate of 100% for students with access to standards-	

 TARGE REPORTED TO THE PARTY OF	1 min
EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School)
CAASPP results (all district schools)	Increase in CAASPP scores by 2% (all district schools)
CAHSEE scores	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)
SAT and PSAT results (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT participation (Triple Junction High School)
A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain number of students completing A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)
Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study (all district schools)	Maintain student access and enrollment in all required areas of study for all students including low income, foster youth, English learners and student with disabilities (all district schools)
Rate of teacher misassignment (all district schools)	Maintain the number of teachers who are misassigned (all district schools)
Rate of students with access to standards-aligned instructional materials (all district schools)	Maintain rate of 100% for students with access to standards- aligned instructional materials (all district schools)
Rate of students using CCSS math curriculum, including EL (all district schools)	Maintain rate of 100% for students using CCSS math curriculum (all district schools)
Rate of students using CCSS English/Language Arts curriculum, including EL (all district schools)	Maintain rate of 100% for students using CCSS E/LA curriculum (all district schools)
Rate of students using Next Generation Science Standards aligned curriculum, including EL (all district schools)	Increase rate of students using curriculum aligned with NGSS to 100% (all district schools)
Facilities Inspection Tool (all district schools)	Maintain Good or Excellent rating on the FIT (all district schools)

	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ hi	ghly qualified teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL	\$263,752 -
	lan and enroll all misasssigned teachers in te training leading to highly qualified status		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Base – 1100 & 3000 \$5,000 – Base – 5210
Purchase 1	NGSS curriculum as it becomes available			\$5,000 – Base, Lottery - 4110
•	technology into instructional program in all as and all subjects with a one-to-one device to atio			\$15,312 – Base, Lottery - 4445
Employ m	aintenance staff			\$47,873 – Base – 2213 & 3000
	oad range of courses including AP and A-G D and college and career readiness ities			
			Related State and	or Local Priorities:
GOAL 3:	Improve school climate to promote and cultivate engagement.	e a positive, sa		5_X_ 6 7_X_ 8 9 10

	2013-2014 district attendance rate: Mattole Elementary – 89.09%, Honeydew Elementary –97.19%, T	Triple Junction High School –80.39%			
	2013-2014 chronic absenteeism rate: Mattole Elementary – 15.2%, Honeydew Elementary –0%, Triple	Junction High School –44.4%			
	2013-2014 graduation rate – 100% (Triple Junction High Schoo	ol)			
	2013-2014 dropout rate – 0% (all district schools)				
Identified Need:	2014-2015 expulsion rate – 0% (all district schools)				
	2014-2015 suspension rate – 5.2% (district total)				
	2014-2015 student satisfaction survey – No data available at this time				
	2014-2015 California Healthy Kids Survey – Data not yet available. There is no previous data available from previous administrations of the survey because previously the number of students per grade level was insufficient for a district report. Data was integrated with Southern Humboldt Unified School District.				
	2014-2015 Extra-curricular participation rate - Mattole Elementary – 60%, Honeydew Elementary –50%, Triple Junction High School –60%				
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				
LCAP Year 1: 2015-16					
Expected Annual	Metric Attendance rates (all district schools)	Outcome Increase attendance rates by 3% (Mattole Elementary and Triple Junction High School) Maintain attendance rate of 95% at Honeydew Elementary School			
Measurable Outcomes:	Chronic absenteeism rates (all district schools)	Decrease rates of chronic absenteeism (Mattole Elementary and Triple Junction High School) Maintain rate of chronic absenteeism (Honeydew Elementary School)			

0

\$50 - Base

S	Student satisfaction surveys (all district schools)			Establish baseline data for satisfaction survey (all district schools)		
	California Healthy Kids Survey, core and Humboldt County module (all district schools)			Establish baseline for the California Healthy Kids Survey (all district schools)		
S	suspension rates (all district school	ls)		Decrease suspension rate by 1% (district total)	
E	Expulsion rates (all district schools)		Maintain expulsion rate (all district schools)		
Н	High school graduation rates (Triple Junction High School) High school dropout rates (Triple Junction High School) Middle school dropout rates (Mattole and Honeydew Schools)			Maintain graduation rate (Triple Junction High	intain graduation rate (Triple Junction High School)	
н				Maintain high school dropout rate (Triple Junction High School)		
M				Maintain middle school dropout rate (Mattole and Honeydew School)		
P	Participation of extra-curricular act	civities (all dist	rict schools)	Increase in student participation of extra-curricular activities by 3% (all district schools)		
ACTIONS/SORVICOS		Scope of Service	Pupils to b	pe served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Employ maintenance staff to ensure a safe, clean and beautiful environment LEA-wide			X_ALL OR:		\$44,426 – Base – 2213 & 3000	
Develop and administer student satisfaction surveys			Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners \$100 – BasFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient		\$100 – Base	
Administer California Healthy Kids Survey, core and Humboldt County components			Other Subg	roups:(Specify)	\$380 - Base	

Phone parents when students are absent using an

Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as

automated call service

needed

Employ school counselor to support students to promote a positive school climate					\$6,411 - Base - 2218 & 3000
Hold Student Study Teams					0
Track attendance, graduation, referral, suspension and expulsion rates					0
Offer extra-curricular activities					\$200 – Base
			'		
	Metric Attendance rates (all district schools)		Outcome Increase attendance rates by 1% (Mattole School and Triple Junction High School) Maintain attendance rate of 95% at Honeydew Elementary School		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Chronic absenteeism rates (all district schools)			Decrease rates of chronic absenteeism (Mattole School and Triple Junction) Maintain rate of chronic absenteeism (Honeydew Elementary School)	
	Student satisfaction surveys (all district schools)			Increase student satisfaction survey results by 1% (all district schools)	
	California Healthy Kids Survey, core and Humboldt County modules (all district schools);		Improve healthy habits and school climate as determined by the California Healthy Kids Survey by 1% (all district schools)		
	Suspension rates (all district schools)			Decrease suspension rate by 1% (district total)	
	Expulsion rates (all district schools)		Maintain expulsion rate (all district schools)		
	High school graduation rates (Triple Junction High School) High school dropout rates (Triple Junction High School)			Maintain graduation rate (Triple Junction High School) Maintain high school dropout rate (Triple Junction High School)	
	Middle school dropout rates (Matto	le and Honeyd	ew Schools)	Maintain middle school dropout rate (Mattole	and Honeydew

				School)		
	Participation of extra-curricular activities (all district schools)			Increase in student participation of extra-curricular activities by 1% (all district schools)		
Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Employ maintenance staff to ensure a safe, clean and beautiful environment Develop and administer student satisfaction surveys Administer California Healthy Kids Survey, core and		LEA-wide	LEA-wide X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		\$46,239 - Base - 2213 & 3000 \$100 - Base \$380 - Base	
Humboldt County components Phone parents when students are absent using an automated call service					0	
Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as needed					\$50 – Base	
Employ school counselor to support students to promote a positive school climate					\$6,520 - Base - 2218 & 3000	
Hold Student Study Teams					0	
Track attendance, graduation, referral, suspension and expulsion rates					0	
Offer extra-curricular activities					\$200 – Base	
LCAP Year 3: 2017-18						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<u>Metric</u> Attendance rates (all district schools)			Outcome Increase attendance rates by 1% (all Mattole Elementary School and Triple Junction High School) Maintain attendance rate of 95% at Honeydew Elementary School		

	Chronic absenteeism rates (all district schools)			Decrease rates of chronic absenteeism (Mattole Elementary and Triple Junction High School) Maintain rate of chronic absenteeism (Honeydew Elementary School)		
	Student satisfaction surveys (all district schools)			Increase student satisfaction survey results by 1% (all district schools)		
	California Healthy Kids Survey, core and Humboldt County modules (all district schools) Suspension rates (all district schools) Expulsion rates (all district schools) High school graduation rates (Triple Junction High School) High school dropout rates (Triple Junction High School) Middle school dropout rates (Mattole and Honeydew Schools) Participation of extra-curricular activities (all district schools)			Improve healthy habits and school climate as determined by the California Healthy Kids Survey by 1% (all district schools)		
				Decrease suspension rate by 1% (district total)		
				Maintain expulsion rate (all district schools)		
				Maintain graduation rate (Triple Junction High School)		
				Maintain high school dropout rate (Triple Junction High School)		
				Maintain middle school dropout rate (Mattole and Honeydew School)		
				Increase in student participation of extra-curricular activities by 1% (all district schools)		
	Actions/Services			be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Employ maintenance staff to ensure a safe, clean and beautiful environment		<u>X</u> ALL OR:		\$47,873 - Base - 2213 & 3000		
Develop and administer student satisfaction surveys			Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient		\$100 – Base	
Administer California Healthy Kids Survey, core and Humboldt County components			Other Subg	roups:(Specify)	\$380 - Base	

Phone parents when students are absent using an

automated call serv	ice				
Mail truancy notice needed	s and follow SARB procedures as				\$50 – Base
Employ school cour a positive school cli	nselor to support students to promote mate				\$6,618 - Base - 2118 & 3000
Hold Student Study	Teams				0
Track attendance, g expulsion rates	raduation, referral, suspension and				0
Offer extra-curricul	ar activities				\$200 – Base
GOAL 4: Increase	parent/ community involvement for th	e purpose of enha	enhancing student learning. Related State and/or Local I 1 2 3_X_ 4 5 6 COE only: 9 10_ Local: Specify		6 7 8 _ 10
Rate of parents volunteering: Honeydew Elementary – 80% Mattole Elementary – 40% Triple Junction High School – 50% Rate of parents attending conferences: Honeydew Elementary – 100% Mattole Elementary – 100% Triple Junction High School – 100%					
Identified Need:	Rate of parents attending Open Ho Honeydew Elementary – 90% Mattol Rate of parents participating on Sc	le Elementary – 80 hool Site Council:	% Triple Junction High School – 8	0%	
Honeydew Elementary – 20% Mattole Elementary – 10% Triple Junction High School – 20% Schools: All Applies to: Applies to:					
Applies to. Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All LCAP Year 1: 2015-16					
Expected Annual Measurable	nual <u>Metric</u> <u>Outcome</u>				Elementary and

Outcomes:				Triple Junction High School)		
	Parent attendance of conferences (all district scho	Maintain parent attendance of parent/teacher conferences (all district schools)			
	Parent attendance of Open House/ school events (all district schools)	Back-to-School	Maintain parent attendance at Open House/B nights and school events (all district schools)	Maintain parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events (all district schools)		
	Parent participation in School Site Council (SSC) (all district schools)			Increase parent participation in SSC by 5% (a schools)	ll district	
	Parent satisfaction surveys (all dis	trict schools)		Establish baseline satisfaction surveys (all dis	strict schools)	
A	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to I	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Develop and administ	er parent satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$50 - Base	
Advertise Open House, Back-to-School Night and all school events Maintain school website			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		\$50 – Base	
Distribute monthly ev	ent calendars				\$50 – Base	
Distribute class and so	chool newsletters				\$50 – Base	
Maintain and distribut	te school handbook				\$100 – Base	
		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2016-17			
	Parent volunteer rate (all district schools)			Outcome Increase parent volunteer rate by 5% (Mattole Elementary and Triple Junction High School)		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:			ools)	Maintain parent attendance of parent/teache district schools)	r conferences (all	
	Parent attendance of Open House/Back-to-School nights, school events (all district schools)			Maintain parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events (all district schools)		

Parent participation in School Site Council (SSC) (all district Increase parent participation in SSC by 5% (all district schools) schools) Parent participation surveys (all district schools) Increase parent satisfaction surveys by 1% (all district schools) Scope of Budgeted **Actions/Services** Pupils to be served within identified scope of service Service Expenditures \$50 - Base LEA-wide Administer parent satisfaction surveys X ALL OR: \$50 - Base Advertise Open House, Back-to-School Night and all Low Income pupils English Learners school events __Foster Youth ___Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) Maintain school website Distribute monthly event calendars \$50 - Base \$50 - Base Distribute class and school newsletters \$100 - Base Maintain and distribute school handbook **LCAP Year 3**: 2017-18 Metric Outcome Parent volunteer rate (all district schools) Increase parent volunteer rate by 5% (Mattole Elementary and Triple Junction High School) Parent attendance of conferences (all district schools) Maintain parent attendance of parent/teacher conferences (all district schools) **Expected Annual** Parent attendance of Open House/Back-to-School nights, Measurable school events (all district schools) Maintain parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School Outcomes: nights and school events (all district schools) Parent participation in School Site Council (SSC) (all district Increase parent participation in SSC by 5% (all district schools) schools) Parent participation surveys (all district schools) Increase parent satisfaction by 1% (all district schools)

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Administer parent satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL	\$50 – Base
Advertise Open House, Back-to-School Night and all school events		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient	\$50 – Base
Maintain school website		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Distribute monthly event calendars			\$50 – Base
Distribute class and school newsletters			\$50 – Base \$100 – Base
Maintain and distribute school handbook			

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

L Chidinal -	oal #1 nprove student performance outo	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4_X 5 6 7 8_X COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify		
Goal Applies to	: Schools: All	ς. ΔΙΙ		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Metric Applicable Pupil Subgroup Metric AP Exam rates (Triple Junction High School) EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School) California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores (Triple Junction High School) PSAT and SAT results (Triple Junction High School)	Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by 2% (Triple Junction High School) Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School) Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School) Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT (Triple Junction High School)	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	2014-2015 AP exam participation: 50% of total 11 th and 12 th graders enrolled 2013-2014 EAP: 100% of enrolled 11 th graders participated and were college prepared (rating of Exempt) 2014-2015 EAP: Data not yet available. 10 th graders passing CAHSEE in 2014 - 2015: E/LA - 100% Math - 100% 2014-2015 PSAT and SAT participation: SAT - SAT - 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders PSAT - 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders, 60% of 10 th graders
	A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School	Maintain number of students completing A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)		2014-2015 A-G requirements met: 66.6% of seniors 2012-2013 API: 791
	Academic Performance Index (API) (all district schools	Establish baseline API (all district schools) Establish baseline for		2014-2015 API: Data not yet available. Baseline will be established in the fall of 2015.
	CAASPP results (all district	Establish daseline for		2014-2015 CAASPP results: Data not yet available.

schools)	CAASPP (all district schools)	Baseline will be established fall of 2015.
EL reclassification rate	Establish a baseline for EL reclassification rate (all district schools)	2014-2015 EL reclassification rate: 0%
English proficiency rate for EL students	Establish a baseline for English proficiency for EL students (all district schools)	2014-2015 English proficiency rate: 0%
	LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15
Planned Actions/Ser	vices	Actual Actions/Services
	Pudgeted	Estimated Actual

Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Employ highly qualified teachers	Teacher salaries/benefits - \$371,000 Source - Base Grant;	Highly qualified teachers were employed.	\$309,317	
Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum	Curriculum budget - \$4,000 Source – Common Core Implementation Funds and Base Grant;	CCSS aligned mathematics curriculum was purchased for grades K through 10.	\$3,551	
Provide professional development for teachers	Professional development budget - \$2,000 Source – Common Core Implementation Funds and Base Grant;	Teachers completed professional development, both in house and outside of the district. Professional development in technology use was completed by all district teachers.	\$2,388	
Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT	Assessment	The CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAT, SAT and PSAT were all	0	

	hd. #400	a destatata d	
	budget - \$100	administered.	
	Source – Base		
LEA wide	Grant	I E A mide	
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
X_ALL		<u>X_</u> ALL	
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Employ two instructional aides	Instructional aide salary/benefits - \$20,000 Source - Supplemental Grant;	Two part-time instructional aides were employed at Honeydew Elementary to lower the adult to student ratio. They focused on math and English/Language Arts with the 2 nd through 5 th grades.	\$21,047
Increase school counselor's FTE from .2 to .4	Counselor salary/benefits - \$5,000 Source – Supplemental Grant;	Unfortunately, the previous counselor left the district and we were unable to fill the position until the middle of the school year. The replacement was only able to work a .2 FTE. She served 15 students on an individual level at both elementary campuses.	\$4,508
Increase FTE of teacher	Teacher salary/benefit increase of .15 FTE - \$7,300 Source – Supplemental Grant;	A teacher's FTE was increased in order to reduce the number of grade levels in each classroom.	\$9,396
Professional development	Professional development - \$5,000 Source – Supplemental Grant	No professional development was completed with Supplementary/Concentration funds.	0
Provide backpacks and supplies	School supply	Ten backpacks with school supplies were purchased. They	\$720

	budget - \$200 Source – Supplemental Grant	will be distributed to students within the unduplicated count, as needed.
For all goals 1 - 4	Curriculum - \$1,000 Source – Supplemental Grant	No curriculum was purchased with Supplementary/Concentration funds.
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service:
ALL		ALL
OR: X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)
Administer the CELDT test		The CELDT was administered to one student.
Offer all information in variety of languages		School forms were available in Spanish, but the one EL family did not need them.
Allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		EL parents were given the opportunity for feedback about EL programs.
Employ two instructional aides	Instructional aide salary/benefits - \$20,000 Source – Supplemental Grant;	Two part-time instructional aides were employed at Honeydew Elementary to lower the adult to student ratio. They focused on math and English/Language Arts with the 2 nd through 5 th grades. A third part-time instructional aide was employed at Mattole Elementary and helped with reading and math intervention, including working with an EL student.
For all goals 1 - 4	Curriculum – \$1,000 Source – Supplemental Grant	No curriculum was purchased with Supplementary/Concentration funds.
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide

ALL OR:Low Income pupils X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Employ two instructional aides	Instructional aide salary/benefits - \$20,000 Source - Supplemental Grant;	Two part-time instructional aides were employed at Honeydew Elementary. They were used to lower the adult to student ratio and to work with students in grade 2-5 in math and English/Language Arts.	\$21,047
Increase school counselor's FTE	Counselor salary/benefits - \$5,000 Source – Supplemental Grant;	Unfortunately, the previous counselor left the district and we were unable to fill the position until the middle of the school year. The replacement was only able to work a .2 FTE.	\$4,508
Provide backpacks and school supplies	School supply budget; - \$200 Source – Supplemental Grant	Ten backpacks with school supplies were purchased. They will be distributed to students within the unduplicated count, as needed.	\$720
For all goals 1 - 4	Curriculum - \$1,000 Source – Supplemental Grant	No curriculum was purchased with Supplementary/Concentration funds.	0
Scope of service: LEA-wide	Ordin	Scope of service: LEA-wide	
ALL		ALL	
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersX_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Employ two instructional aides	Instructional aide salary/benefits - \$20,000 Source	Two part-time instructional aides were employed at Honeydew Elementary in order to reduce the adult to student ratio. They worked with grades 2-5 in the core	\$21,047

	- Supplemental	areas of math and English/Language Arts.	
Offer all information in variety of languages	Grant;	Information was offered in Spanish, but was not used.	0
Allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		EL parents were given an opportunity for feedback about EL programs.	0
Administer CELDT		The CELDT was administered to one student.	0
	Curriculum - \$1,000 Source – Supplemental	No curriculum was purchased with Supplemental/Concentration funds.	0
For all goals 1 - 4	Grant	LEA wide	
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
ALL		ALL	
OR:	-	OR:	
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	
Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and developme		tablished in several areas. The amount budgeted for duced. An increased focus is necessary on curriculum	•

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal #2 Ensure that all Mattole Unified School District students have ready access and ample opportunity to learn in environments that reflect 21st century learning.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_X 2_X 3 4 5 6 7_X 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify
Goal Applie	s to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	

	Metric AP Exam rates (Triple Junction High School)	Outcome Increase of 2% of students taking AP Exams (Triple Junction High School)		2014-2015 AP exam participation: 50% of total 11 th and 12 th graders enrolled
	EAP College Preparedness rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain college preparedness on EAP (Triple Junction High School)		2014-2015 EAP participation: Data not yet available.
	CAHSEE scores	Maintain CAHSEE pass rate (Triple Junction High School)		10 th graders passing CAHSEE in 2014 – 2015: E/LA –100% Math –100%
Evenerated	SAT and PSAT results (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain participation rate of SAT and PSAT participation (Triple Junction High School)		2014-2015 PSAT and SAT participation: SAT – SAT – 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders PSAT – 100% of all enrolled 11 th graders, 60% of 10 th
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	CAASPP results (all district schools)	Establish baseline for CAASPP (all district schools)	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Data not yet available. Baseline will be established in fall 2015.
	A-G completion rates (Triple Junction High School)	Maintain number of students completing A-G requirements (Triple Junction High School)		2014-2015 A-G completion rates: 66.6%%
	Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study (all district schools)	Establish a baseline of student access and enrollment in all required areas of study (all district schools)		Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study: 100%
	Rate of teacher misassignment (all district schools)	Establish a baseline of teachers who are misassigned (all district schools)		Number of teachers misassigned in 2014-2015: 2

	Student access to standards-aligned instructional materials (all district schools) Establish a baseline for student access to standards-aligned (all district schools)			Student access to standards-aligned in materials: 100%	nstructional	
	Implementation of CCSS for students, including EL (all district schools)	Fully implement math and E/LA CCSS for all students			Implementation to CCSS: 100%	
	Facilities in good repair (all district schools)	1	a baseline for of all facilities (all ools)		FIT results: Good	
			LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15		
	Planned Actions/Serv	ices			Actual Actions/Services	
			Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Employ highly qu	ualified teachers		Teacher salaries/benefits - \$371,000 Source – Base Grant;	Highly qualified to	eachers were employed.	\$309,169
Integrate technology into instructional program		Technology budget - \$2,000 Source – Common Core Implementation Funds and Base Grant;	Technology was purchased. Twelve Dell laptops, two Samsung tablets with keyboards and a large screen smart TV were purchased. We also fully implemented a 1:1 Kindle Fire HD tablet program with numberous e-books and educational apps for every K-12 student. The majority of the tablets and apps were funded by a McLean Foundation grant. Bluetooth keyboards were also purchased for each tablet		\$4,800	
		Maintenance budget - \$25,000 Source – Base Grant	maintenance and	ds were maintained. This included routine larger projects. The septic pump was the gym ceiling were replaced and the repaired.	\$45,000	

Offer a broad range of courses including AP and A-G		A broad range of courses were offered, including 12 A-G courses and two AP courses.
	Field trip budget (GATE) - \$800 Funding Source – Base Grant;	Field trips and supplementary opportunities were provided. \$2,540
	Mentor project budget (Instructional Funds) - \$1,000 Funding Source – ASB and Base Grant;	Mentor projects were completed. \$1,000
Offer AVID and college and career readiness opportunities	AVID budget (Instructional Funds) – \$100 Funding Source – Base Grant	AVID and college and career readiness opportunities were provided. AVID strategies were used with all 9 th graders, some 10 th graders and all 12 th graders.
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide
X_ALL		X_ALL
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

Baselines still need to be established in several areas. The amount budgeted for maintenance needs to be increased. The amount budgeted for field trips and supplementary opportunities for students needs to be increased.

GOAL from	Soal #3 mprove school climate to promotengagement.	port student	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4 5_X 6_X 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify			
Goal Applies to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All						
	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Outcome</u>		2014-2015 distr	rict attendance rate –	
	Attendance rates (all district schools)	Increase attendance rates by 1% (all district schools)		(Mattole Elementary – 89.09%, Honeydew Elementary – 97.19%, Triple Junction High School – 80.39%)		
	Chronic absenteeism rates (all district schools)	Establish a baseline for chronic absenteeism rates (all district schools)		2014-2015 chronic absenteeism rate – Mattole Elementary – 16.2%, Honeydew Elementary – 0%, Triple Junction High School – 20%		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: High school dropout rates (Triple Junction High School Expulsion rates (all district schools) Suspension rates (all district schools)	High school graduation rates (Triple Junction High School)	Increase in graduation rate by 50% (Triple Junction High School)	Actual Annual	2014-2015 graduation rate – 100%		
	High school dropout rates (Triple Junction High School)	Decrease high school dropout rate by 50% (Triple Junction High School)	Measurable Outcomes:	2014-2015 drop	-2015 dropout rate – 0%	
	Expulsion rates (all district schools)	Maintain expulsion rate (all district schools)		2014-2015 expu	ılsion rate – 0%	
	Suspension rates (all district schools)	Maintain suspension rate (all district schools)		2014-2015 susp	ension rate – 5.2%	
	Student satisfaction surveys (all district schools)	Establish a baseline for student satisfaction survey (all district schools)			ction survey: Satisfaction survey not reline will be established in 2015-2016.	

	California Healthy Kids Survey (all district schools)	California	a baseline for Healthy Kids I district schools)		California Healthy Kids Survey: Data not yet available. Baseline will be established in fall 2015.		
	Middle school dropout rates (Mattole and Honeydew Schools)	middle sch	a baseline for nool dropout rate nd Honeydew		Middle school dropout rate: 0%		
	Participation of extra- curricular activities (all district schools)	student pa	a baseline for irticipation rate of cular activities (all nools)	Participation in extra-curricular activities: Honeydew Elementary – 50% Mattole Elementary Triple Junction High School – 60%			
			LCAP Ye	ar : 2014-15			
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services				
			Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
budget \$25		Maintenance budget \$25,000 Source – Base Grant;	Maintenance needs were maintained. This included routine maintenance and larger projects. The septic pump was replaced, tiles in the gym ceiling were replaced and the bathrooms were repaired.		\$45,000		
Postage budge		Printing budget; Postage budget - \$1,000 Source – Base Grant;	Student satisfaction surveys were not developed. 0		0		
Administer California Healthy Kids Survey		California Healthy Kids Survey, both the core and the Humboldt County module, was administered to students in grades 5 through 12 at all district schools.		\$380			
Phone parents when students are absent		Parents were phoned when students were absent. 0		0			
Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as needed		Truancy notices were not mailed.		0			
Employ special education staff Special		Special	Special education	n staff was employed.	\$77,890		

	Education staff salaries/benefits - \$57,000 Source – Special Education;	
Employ school counselor	Counselor salary/benefits - \$10,000 Source	School counselor was employed but was paid from Supplementary/Concentration funds.
Hold Student Study Teams	- Base Grant;	Student Study Teams were held. 0
Track attendance, graduation, referral, suspension and expulsion rates		Data was tracked. 0
	AVID budget (Instructional Funds) - \$100 Funding Source – Base Grant;	AVID strategies were implemented with all 9 th grade students, some 10 th grade students and all 12 th grade students.
Offer extra-curricular activities	Extra-curricular budget - \$1,000 Funding Source – ASB and Base Grant	Extra-curricular activities were offered through sports programs, school plays and fly fishing. \$1,000
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide
X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to

A baseline still needs to be established for the California Healthy Kids Survey and student satisfaction surveys. The amount budgeted from the base grant for a counselor needs to be spent. Chronic absenteeism and attendance rates need more focus.

goal	ls?						
GOAL from	GOAL from prior year Increase parent/ community involvement for the purpose of enhancing student learning. 1 2 3 X 4 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10						
('col Applica to:	Schoole: All						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Pare conf scho Ope nigh distr Pare Scho (all o	Metric ent volunteer rate (all rict schools) ent attendance of ferences (all district	Outcome Establish a baseline of parent volunteer rate (all district schools) Establish a baseline of the attendance rate of parents at parent/ teacher conferences (all district schools) Establish a baseline of parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events (all district schools) Increase parent participation in SSC by .5% (all district schools) Establish a baseline for parent satisfaction as measured by parent satisfaction survey (all district schools)	Rate of parents attending conference Honeydew Elementary – 100% Mattole 100% Triple Junction High School – 100 Rate of parents attending Open Hous night or other school events: Honeydew Elementary – 90% Mattole I Triple Junction High School – 80% Rate of parents participating on School	entary – 80% Mattole Elementary – 40% igh School – 50% attending conferences: entary – 100% Mattole Elementary – ection High School – 100% attending Open House/Back-to-School chool events: entary – 90% Mattole Elementary – 80% igh School – 80% participating on School Site Council: entary – 20% Mattole Elementary – 10% igh School – 20% on surveys were not distributed. A			
	LCAP Year: 2014-15						
	Planned Actions/Serv	ices		Actual A	ctions/Services		

	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Develop and administer parent satisfaction surveys Advertise Open House, Back-to-School Night and all school events	Printing budget; Postage budget; Website budget - \$1,000 Source – Base Grant	Parent satisfaction surveys were not developed. School events were advertised though newsletter, flyers and postings on a Mattole Google Group.	0 \$1,300
Maintain school website		School website was maintained.	
Distribute monthly event calendars Distribute class and school newsletters		Event calendars and newsletters were distributed.	
Maintain and distribute school handbook		Handbook was distributed. It will be revised over the summer.	
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals

An increase in communication to parents is needed, as well as the distribution of a parent satisfaction survey. A broader focus is needed to increase parent engagement with the school, in particular for school site council participation.

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:

\$_34,525_

The amount of funding generated for the 2014-2015 fiscal year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5) is \$34,525.

These specific funds will be used for the employment of an instructional aide; the increased FTE of an instructional aide; the increase in FTE of a part-time school counselor for targeted instruction and counseling; increase the FTE of a CLAD certified teacher; and to provide backpacks, school supplies and necessary home supplies.

The funds will be spent in a districtwide manner because the enrollment of the unduplicated pupils is evenly spread out among all of the district schools. Also, the overall enrollment for the district is so small that targeting specific students would jeopardize the confidentiality of the students.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

3.47 %

Using the FCMAT calculator, the minimum proportionality percentage for MUSD is a 3.47% increase or improvement of services provided to unduplicated students.

The proportionality percentage is met with increased, targeted counseling, instructional aides, increase in teacher FTE, professional development and materials and supplies.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]