1	
2	Title 5. EDUCATION
3	Division 1. California Department of Education
4	Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula
5	Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for
6	Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability
7	Plan Template
8	Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for
9	Supplemental and Concentration Grants
10	
11	§ 15494. Scope.
12	(a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in
13	section 15495(d).
14	(b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local
15	control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils
16	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03.
17	(c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services
18	are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and
19	improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas.
20	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
21	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
22	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
23	<u>6312.</u>
24	
25	§ 15495. Definitions.
26	In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and
27	42238.02, the following definitions are provided:
28	(a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and
29	47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other
30	numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of
31	the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil
32	advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups
33	representing pupils.

1	(b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections
2	52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county
3	superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English
4	learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a
5	majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in
6	Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a
7	county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English
8	learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these
9	requirements.
10	(c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA
11	pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in
12	conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5.
13	(d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of
14	education, or charter school.
15	(e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other
16	persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to
17	Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or
18	56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions.
19	(f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and
20	52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of
21	pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education
22	Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county
23	superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory
24	committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including
25	any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind
26	Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of
27	Title I of that act.
28	(g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for
29	which an LCAP is approved.
30	(h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not
31	limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities,
32	pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to
33	operate and deliver educational instruction and related services.

1	(i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections
2	52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities
3	identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the
4	nature of the program operated by the charter school.
5	(j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuant
6	to Education Code section 52052.
7	(k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality.
8	(I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity.
9	(m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the
10	definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible
11	for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners.
12	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
13	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
14	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
15	<u>6312.</u>
16	
17	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services
17 18	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for
18	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for
18 19	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.
18 19 20	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for  Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding
18 19 20 21	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for  Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils,
18 19 20 21 22	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to
18 19 20 21 22 23	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for Supplemental and Concentration Grants.  (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows:

1	(2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for
2	unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on
3	services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14
4	shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in
5	the 2012-13 fiscal year.
6	(3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1).
7	(4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage
8	calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide
9	funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in
10	the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.
11	(5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2).
12	(6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant
13	to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code
14	sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted
15	Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation
16	program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.
17	(7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6).
18	(8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero
19	or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its
20	percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target
21	attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant
22	to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is
23	adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the
24	Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School
25	Transportation program.
26	(b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds
27	apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for
28	districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education
29	Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved
30	services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to
31	upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter
32	school, or a county office of education as follows:

1	(1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or
2	more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or
3	in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a
4	districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of
5	the following:
6	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
7	districtwide basis.
8	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
9	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
10	any local priority areas.
11	(2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55
12	percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted
13	may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A
14	school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following:
15	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
16	districtwide basis.
17	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
18	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
19	any local priority areas.
20	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the
21	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The
22	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,
23	any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational
24	theory.
25	(3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is
26	40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP
27	is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds
28	on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do
29	all of the following:
30	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
31	schoolwide basis.

1	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
2	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
3	any local priority areas.
4	(4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40
5	percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is
6	adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide
7	basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the
8	following:
9	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
10	schoolwide basis.
11	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
12	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
13	any local priority areas.
14	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the
15	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The
16	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,
17	any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational
18	theory.
19	(5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant
20	funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and
21	concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following:
22	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
23	countywide or charterwide basis.
24	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
25	are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its
26	unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable.
27	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
28	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
29	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
30	<u>6312.</u>
31	
32	§ 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of
33	Proportionality.

1	In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3),
2	the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of
3	districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through
4	(b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will
5	increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a
6	county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district
7	has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated
8	pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school
9	district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071.
10	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
11	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
12	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
13	<u>6312.</u>
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

## 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

#### Introduction:

LEA: Mattole Valley Charter School Contact: Richard Graey, Superintendent, rgraey@hotmail.com (707) 445-2660 LCAP Year: 2015-2016

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

### **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

#### A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic:** degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

**Implementation of State Standards:** implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

**Course access:** pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only):** coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

**Foster youth (for county offices of education only):** coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

### **B. Pupil Outcomes:**

**Pupil achievement:** performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

**Other pupil outcomes:** pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

## C. Engagement:

**Parental involvement:** efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement:** school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

**School climate:** pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

#### **Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
LCAP discussions were held at staff meetings for stakeholder input –	Needs were assessed and goals were developed as a result of
8/22/14, 3/27/15.	the staff and Charter Council members reviewing data.
LCAP discussions were held at Charter Council meetings for stakeholder input – 3/6/15, 4/17/15.	Actions and services were developed based on teacher and parent/community input.
Survey for stakeholder input was posted on the website with 11 respondents – 3/9/15.	The actions and services of the draft LCAP were revised based on advisory group input. Staff felt a need for an increase in direct instruction opportunities for students, an increase in
Student/Parent/Community meetings for stakeholder input – 3/25/15 Humboldt County with 0 attendees – 4/1/15 Siskiyou County with 1 attendee.	leadership opportunities for students and the ability to provide more home supplies for unduplicated students.
The draft LCAP was distributed to the Charter Council to obtain stakeholder feedback – 5/15/15.	The actions and services of the draft LCAP were further revised based on feedback obtained during parent/community meetings.
A public hearing was held to review the revised draft LCAP – 6/4/15.	
Annual Update: Student/Parent/Community meetings for stakeholder input and to review the 2014-2015 LCAP – 3/25/15 Humboldt County with 0 attendees – 4/1/15 Siskiyou County with 1 attendee.	Annual Update: Based on the review of the annual update, stakeholders input resulted in the following changes for the 2015-2016 LCAP: local assessment data will be removed from future LCAP metrics due to the lack of established local benchmarks and assessments; CAASPP baseline data will be established; API baseline will be established; the ACT will be administered; continued purchase of CCSS aligned curriculum as it becomes available;
LCAP discussions were held at Charter Council meeting for staff and community to review the 2014-2015 LCAP – 5/15/15.	continued professional development in CCSS for teachers; continued professional development for teacher misassignments; continued allocations to college and career opportunities; chronic absenteeism is not a relevant

A public hearing was held to review the annual update -6/4/15.

metric; student and parent satisfaction surveys will be developed and

the school handbook will be completed and distributed; continued

administered; a baseline for the CA Healthy Kids Survey will be established;

professional development for staff in regard to foster youth, an increase in focus for CLAD certification for current teachers; the printing expenditures for translation of forms will be reduced; EL curriculum will be purchased.

## Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

#### Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

## **Goal:** Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

**Schools:** Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

**Applicable Pupil Subgroups:** Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

**Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

**Scope of Service:** Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

**Pupils to be served within identified scope of service**: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

**Budgeted Expenditures:** For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

		Related State and/or Local Priorities:		
GOAL:	1. Improve student performance outcomes in the core academic areas.	1 2 3 4_X_ 5 6 7 8_X_ COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify		

In 2012-2013, 1.61% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam. In 2013-2014, 2.17% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam. In 2014-2015, .8% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam.

In 2013-2014, 0 students scored 3 or higher on the AP exam.

In 2014-2015, the EAP participation rate was 3.5 with 0% scoring college prepared (exempt).

In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 47%. In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 55%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 65%.

Increase rate: 10%

In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 67%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. Increase rate: 6%

In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate for Native American students in math was 42% and in E/LA was 27%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate for Native American students in math was 44% and in E/LA was 57%. Increase rate: math – 2%; E/LA – 13%

#### Identified Need:

In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate for Hispanic students in math was 67% and in E/LA was 50%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate for Hispanic students in math was 100% and in E/LA was 100%.

Increase rate: math - 33%; E/LA - 50%

In 2012-2013, 2.98% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12 graders took the SAT, 0% took the ACT.

In 2013-2014, 1.75% SAT participation, 0% ACT participation.

In 2014-2015, 2.7% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students took the SAT, 0% took the ACT, 9.02% of 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> graders took the PSAT. SAT rate - increase of .95%, ACT rate - maintained 0%

A baseline API will be established in the fall of 2015.

A baseline CAASPP score for students in subgroups of Native American and Hispanic students will be established in the fall of 2015.

A baseline CAASPP score for all students will be established in the fall of 2015.

In 2014-2015, the number of graduates completing A-G requirements is 4.

In 2014-2015, no EL students were reclassified.

In 2014-2015, 2 students became English proficient

Goal Applies to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All					
LCAP Year 1: 2015-16					
	Metric  AP Exam participation rates	Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%			
	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher	Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by .5%			
	EAP College Preparedness rates	Increase college preparedness on EAP by 2%			
	CAASPP results	Establish a baseline for CAASPP results for all students			
		Establish a baseline for CAASPP results for Native American and Hispanic students			
Expected Annual	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores	Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in math by 3%			
Measurable Outcomes:		Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in English/ Language Arts by 2%			
		Increase CAHSEE pass rates in math by 3% for Native American and Hispanic students			
		Increase CAHSEE pass rates in English/ Language Arts by 2% for Native American and Hispanic students			
	PSAT, SAT and ACT results	Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation			
	Academic Performance Index (API)	Establish a baseline API			
	A-G completion rates	Increase in number of students completing			

			A-G requirements by .5%	
	EL reclassification rate		Increase the number of EL students becomin by .5%	g English proficient
	Share of ELs that become English pro	oficient	Increase the number of students receiving a by .5%	n EL reclassification
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope o service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ highly qualified Purchase CCSS aligned	teachers curriculum as it becomes available.	LEA-wide	X_ALL  OR:  Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1,219,863 - Base - 1100 & 3000 \$50,000 - Base - 4110
academic areas, includi				\$35,000 – Base - 5210 \$5,000 – Base -
Employ school counseld and social/emotional co	ors for academic, college and career ounseling des to work with students in core	LEA-wide	ALLOR:X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersX_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$86,520 - Sup/Con - 1200 & 3000 \$159,097 - Sup/Con - 2100 & 3000
Provide backpacks, sch in the unduplicated cou	ool and home supplies for students unt			\$50,000 – Sup/Con - 4310
Provide bus tickets for	students needing transportation			\$5,000 – Sup/Con - 5261
l			I	

Provide internet service	es for students without access			\$5,000 – Sup/Con - 5922
Provide laptops to acce	ess educational opportunities			\$10,000 – Sup/Con – 4445
For all goals 1-4				
•	l teachers with CLAD certification to n	LEA-wide	ALL OR:	\$322,360 – Sup/Con – 1100 - & 3000
Employ instructional aides to work with students in core academic areas			Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$159,097 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
	variety of languages and allow for ents to give feedback about EL			0
Provide internet service	es for students without access			\$3,600 – Sup/Con - 5922
Provide laptops to access educational opportunities				\$2,000 – Sup/Con – 4445 \$500 – Sup/Con -
Administer CELDT				4314
For all goals 1-4				
		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2016-17	
Expected Annual	AP Exam participation rates		Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%	
Measurable Outcomes:	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher		Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by	y .5%
	EAP College Preparedness rates		Increase college preparedness on EAP by 2%	

	CAASPP results			Increase CAASPP scores in English/Language Art students by 1%	s and Math for all
				Increase CAASPP scores in English/Language Art	s and Math for
				students in subgroups of Native American and H	
	California High School Exit Exam (CAH	ISEE) scores		Increase CAHSEE pass rate in math by 3%	
				Increase CAHSEE pass rate in English/	
				Language Arts by 2%	
				Increase CAHSEE pass rates in math by 3% for N	ative American
				and Hispanic students	
				Increase CAHSEE pass rates in English/	
				Language Arts by 2% for Native American and H	ispanic students
	PSAT, SAT and ACT results			Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participati	on
				Increase in API score by 1%	
	Academic Performance Index (API)				
				Increase in number of students completing	
				A-G requirements by .5%	
	A-G completion rates				
				Increase the number of EL students becoming E	nglish proficient
	Fl. manlanaifi antinu mata			by .5%	
	EL reclassification rate			Increase the number of students receiving an El	roclassification
	Share of ELs that become English prof	ficient		Increase the number of students receiving an EL by .5%	. reciassification
		Scope of	Punile to	be served within identified scope of	Budgeted
Ac	tions/Services	Service	i upiis to	service	Expenditures

Employ highly qualified teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL	\$1,252,764 – Base – 1100 &
		OR:	3000
Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum as it becomes available		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 – Base - 4110
Provide professional development for teachers in core academic areas, including CCSS			\$35,000 – Base - 5210
Advising CAASDD CAUSES FAD SAT DSAT and AST			\$5,000 – Base - 4314
Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT and ACT		A	
Employ school counselors for academic, career, college and	LEA-wide	ALL	\$87,781 – Sup/Con – 1200
social/emotional counseling		OR:	& 3000
		X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	Q 3000
Employ instructional aides to support core academic areas		X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$163,518 – Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Provide backpacks, school and home supplies for students within the unduplicated count			\$50,000 - Sup/Con - 4310
Provide bus tickets for student transportation			\$5,000 – Sup/Con - 5261
Provide internet services for students without access			\$5,000 – Sup/Con – 5922
Provide laptops to access educational opportunities For all goals 1-4			\$10,000 – Sup/Con - 4445

Employ highly qualified teachers with CLAD certification to	LEA-wide	ALL	\$333,117 –
work with EL population		OR:	Sup/Con – 1100
		Low Income pupils _X_English Learners	& 3000
Employ instructional aides to support core academic areas		Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$163,518 – Sup/Con – 2100
Offer all information in variety of languages and allow for			& 3000
opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL			0
programs			
Provide internet services for students without access			\$3,600 -
Trovide internet services for students without decess			Sup/Con – 5922
			4
Provide laptops for students to access educational			\$2,000 – Sup/Con – 4445
opportunities			3up/ con 4443
Administer CELDT			\$500 – Sup/Con -
Administer CELDT For all goals 1-4			4314
. e. w gewie		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

# **LCAP Year 3**: 2017-18

	Metric	Outcomes
	AP Exam participation rates	Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%
	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher	Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by .5%
	EAP College Preparedness rates	Increase college preparedness on EAP by 2%
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	CAASPP results	Increase in CAASPP scores in English/Language Arts and Math for all students by 1%
		Increase CAASPP scores in English/Language Arts and Math for students in subgroups of Native American and Hispanic by 1%
	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores	Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in math by 3%

		Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in English	n/
		Language Arts by 2%	
		Increase CAHSEE pass rates in math by 3% for Nativand Hispanic students	e American
		Increase CAHSEE pass rates in English/ Language Arts by 2% for Native American and Hispa	anic students
	PSAT, SAT and ACT results	Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation	
	Academic Performance Index (API)	Increase in API score by 1%	
	A-G completion rates	Increase in number of students completing A-G requirements by .5%	
	EL reclassification rate	Increase the number of EL students becoming Engli by .5%	sh proficient
	Share of ELs that become English proficient	Increase the number of students receiving an EL receiving an E	classification
A	Scop Services Services	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures

Employ highly qualified teachers	LEA-wide	<u>X_</u> ALL	\$1,285,009 – Base – 1100 &
	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient		3000
Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum as it becomes available		Poster FouriRedesignated intent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 – Base - 4110
Provide professional development for teachers in core			\$35,000 – Base - 5210
academic areas, including CCSS			\$5,000 – Base - 4314
Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT and ACT			
Employ school counselors to provide academic, college and career and social/emotional counseling	LEA-wide	ALL OR:	\$89,023 – Sup/Con – 1200 & 3000
Employ instructional aides to support core academic areas		_X_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$166,231 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Provide backpacks, school and home supplies for students in the unduplicated count			\$50,000 – Sup/Con – 4310
Provide bus tickets for transportation for students			\$5,000 – Sup/Con - 5261
Provide internet services for students without access			\$5,000 – Sup/Con – 5922
Provide laptops for students to access educational opportunities  For all goals 1-4			\$10,000 – Sup/Con - 4445

Employ highly qualified teachers with CLAD certification to work with the EL population	LEA-wide	ALL OR:	\$344,037 – Sup/Con – 1100 & 3000
Employ instructional aides to support in core academic areas		Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$166,231 - Sup/Con – 2100 & 3000
Offer all information in variety of languages and allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs			0
Provide internet services to students without access			\$3,600 – Sup/Con – 5922
Provide laptops for students to access educational opportunities			\$2,000 – Sup/Con – 4445
Administer the CELDT test For all goals 1-4			\$500 – Sup/Con - 4314

GOAL:

2. Ensure that all Mattole Valley Charter School students have ready access and ample opportunity to learn in environments that reflect 21<sup>st</sup> century learning.

Related State and/or Local Priorities:
1_X_ 2_X_ 3 4 5 6 7_X_
8
COE only: 9 10
_ocal: Specify

In 2012-2013, 1.61% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam. In 2013-2014, 2.17% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam. In 2014-2015, .8% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Exam.

In 2013-2014, 0 students scored 3 or higher on the AP exam.

In 2014-2015, the participation rate of EAP for 11<sup>th</sup> graders was 3.5% with 0 students scoring college prepared (exempt).

In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 47%. In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 55%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 65%. Increase rate: 10%

In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 67%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. Increase rate: 6%

#### Identified Need:

In 2012-2013, 2.98% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12 graders took the SAT, 0% took the ACT.

In 2013-2014, 1.75% SAT participation, 0% ACT participation.

In 2014-2015, 2.7% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students took the SAT, 0% took the ACT, 9.02% of 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> graders took the PSAT. SAT rate – increase of .95%, ACT rate – maintained 0%

A baseline CAASPP score for all students will be established in the fall of 2015.

In 2014-2015, the number of students completing A-G requirements is 4.

In 2014-2015, the percentage of students with access and enrolled in all required areas of study was 100%.

In 2014-2015, the number of teachers who were misassigned was 3.

In 2014-2015, the percentage of students with access to standards-aligned instructional materials was 100%.

In 2014-2015, CCSS for math and E/LA was 100%.

In 2014-2015, 100% of facilities were classified as in good repair based on a facilities inspection tool.

Goal Applies to:	Schools: All	
Godi Applies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16	·
	Metric AP Exam participation rates	Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%
	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher	Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by .5%
	EAP College Preparedness rates	Increase of 2% of students taking the EAP
	CAASPP results	Increase in CAASPP scores for all students in English/Language Arts and Math by 1%
	CAHSEE scores	Increase CAHSEE pass rate in math by 3% Increase CAHSEE pass rate in English/ Language Arts by 2% for all students, including Native American and Hispanic
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	SAT, PSAT and ACT results	Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation
Outcomes.	A-G completion rates	Increase in number of students completing A-G requirements by .5%
	Student access and enrollment in all required areas of study	Maintain student access and enrollment in all required areas of study
	Rate of teacher misassignment	Decrease the number of teachers who are misassigned by 33%
	Student access to standards-aligned instructional materials	Maintain student access to standards-aligned instructional materials
	Implementation of CCSS for students	Maintain implementation of CCSS, add additional curriculum as it becomes available
	Facilities in good repair	Maintain or improve condition of all facilities as needed

A	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to I	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ highly qualifie	d teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$1,219,863 -
Integrate technology into instructional program in all grade levels and subjects			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Base – 1100 & 3000 \$50,000 – Base – 4453, 4341
Offer a broad range of	courses including AP and A-G				
Offer college and care introducing an AVID p	er readiness opportunities, including rogram				\$50,000 – Base -
		LCAP Y	<b>ear 2</b> : 2016-17		
	AP Exam participation rates			Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%	
	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher			Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by	5%
	EAP College Preparedness rates			Increase of 2% of students taking the EAP	
	CAASPP results			Increase in CAASPP scores for all students by 1%	
Expected Annual Measurable	CAHSEE scores			Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all student in Mat	h by 2%
Outcomes:	SAT, PSAT and ACT results			Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in Eng Language Arts by 1% Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation	
	A-G completion rates			Increase in number of students completing A-G requirements by 1%	
	Student access and enrollment in all	required areas	of study	Maintain student access and enrollment in all re study	quired areas of

	Rate of teacher misassignment			Decrease the number of teachers misassigned by 33%		
				Maintain student access to standards-aligned instructional materials		
	Implementation of CCSS for students			Maintain implementation of CCSS, add additional curriculum as it becomes available		
	Facilities in good repair	Scope of		Maintain condition of all facilities as needed	Budgeted	
Ad	ctions/Services	Service	Pupils to	be served within identified scope of service	Expenditures	
Employ highly qualified	teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$1,252,764 –	
Integrate technology into instructional program in all grade levels and subjects			Foster You	e pupilsEnglish Learners thRedesignated fluent English proficient groups:(Specify)	Base – 1100 & 3000 \$50,000 – Base – 4453, 4341	
Offer a broad range of	courses including AP and A-G					
Offer college and career readiness opportunities, including introducing an AVID program					\$50,000 – Base	
		LCAP Y	ear 3: 2017-18			
	Metric AP Exam participation rates			Outcome Increase AP Exam participation rate by .5%		
	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher			Increase AP Exam passing rate of 3 or higher by	.5%	
Expected Annual Measurable	EAP College Preparedness rates			Increase of 2% of students taking the EAP		
Outcomes:	CAASPP results			Increase in CAASPP scores for all students by 1%	ó	
	CAHSEE scores			Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in Ma Increase CAHSEE pass rate for all students in En Language Arts by 2%	•	

	SAT, PSAT and ACT results			Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation		
	A-G completion rates		Increase in number of students completing A-G requirements by 1%			
	Student access and enrollment in all	of study	Maintain student access and enrollment in all required areas of study			
	Rate of teacher misassignment				of teachers who are misas	signed by 33%
	Student access to standards-aligned instructional materials			Maintain student acce materials	ss to standards-aligned in	structional
	Implementation of CCSS for students			Maintain implementation of CCSS, add additional curriculum as it becomes available		
	Facilities in good repair		Maintain condition of all facilities as needed			
Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to I	oe served within identif	fied scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Employ highly qualified	teachers	LEA-wide	_X_ALL \$1,285,00		\$1,285,009 -	
Integrate technology into instructional program in all grade levels and subjects  Offer a broad range of courses including AP and A-G			Foster Yout	e pupilsEnglish Learr thRedesignated fluer roups:(Specify)	nt English proficient	Base – 1100 & 3000 \$50,000 – Base – 4453, 4341
Offer college and caree introducing an AVID pro	r readiness opportunities, including ogram					\$50,000 – Base –
GOAL:  3. Improve school climate to promote and cultivate a positive, sait to support student engagement.				, safe environment	Related State and/or  1 2 3 4 5_X  COE only: 9_ Local: Specify	_ 6 <u>_X</u> _ 7 8

	In 2013-2014, attendance rate was 100%. In 2014-2015, attendance rate is not yet known.						
	In 2012-2013, the high school graduation rate was 88%. In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate was 93%. This was a decrease of 5%.						
	In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate for Native American students was 100%.						
Identified Need:	In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate for Hispanic students was 83%.						
	In 2012-2013, the truancy rate was 2.86%. In 2013-2014, the truancy rate was 6.35%. In 2014-2015, the truancy rate was 7.2%. Increase by: .85%						
	In 2012-2013, the high school drop-out rate was 21%. In 2013-2014, the high school drop-out rate was 26.1%. This was an increase of 5.1%.						
	In 2012-2013, the suspension rate was .3%. In 2013-2014, the suspension rate was .3%. In 2014-2015, the suspension rate was .8%. Increase rate: .5%						
	In 2013-2014, the expulsion rate was 0%. In 2014-2015, the expulsion rate was 0%. Expulsion rate maintained.						
In 2014-2015, the middle school drop-out rate was 0%.							
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All						
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16						
Expected Annual	Metric Outcome						
Measurable Outcomes:	Attendance rate Maintain attendance rate						

	Truancy rate			Decrease truancy rate by .2%		
	Student satisfaction surveys			Establish a baseline for student satisfaction survey results		
	California Healthy Kids Survey			Establish a baseline of healthy habits and schoo determined by the California Healthy Kids Surve		
	Suspension rate			Maintain suspension rate		
	Expulsion rate  High school graduation rates			Maintain expulsion rate		
				Increase in high school graduation rates by 2%		
				Maintain high school graduation rates for Native students	e American	
				Increase high school graduation rates for Hispar	nic students by 1%	
	High school drop-out rate			Decrease in high school drop-out rate by 1%		
	Middle school drop-out rate			Maintain middle school drop-out rate		
A	Actions/Services		Pupils to be served within identified scope of service		Budgeted Expenditures	
Develop and administer s	student satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$400 – Base -	
Administer California Healthy Kids Survey, both the core and Humboldt County module, in all pertinent grades			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		\$380 - Base	
Phone parents when students are absent using an automated system					0	
Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as needed					\$50	
Employ school counselor to provide academic, college and career and social/emotional counseling					\$82,185 - Base - 1200 & 3000	

Hold Student Study Teams to meet the needs of students					\$2,500 – Special Education
Track attendance, graduation, suspension and expulsion rates				0	
		LCAP Ye	ear <b>2</b> : 2016-17		
	Attendance rate	<u>Metric</u>		<u>Outcome</u> Maintain attendance rate	
	Truancy rate			Decrease truancy rate by .2%	
	Student satisfaction surveys		Increase student satisfaction survey results by .5%		
	California Healthy Kids Survey		Improve healthy habits and school climate as determined by the California Healthy Kids Survey by .5%		
Expected Annual	Suspension rate		Maintain suspension rates		
Measurable Outcomes:	Expulsion rate			Maintain expulsion	
	High school graduation rates		Increase in high school graduation rates by 2%		
			Maintain high school graduation rates for Native American students		
				Increase high school graduation rates for Hispar	nic students by 1%
	High school drop-out rate			Decrease in high school drop-out rate by 2%	
	Middle school drop-out rate			Maintain middle school drop-out rate	
Actions/Services Scope		Scope of Service	Pupils to I	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures

LEA-wide	_X_ALL	\$500 <b>–</b> Base -			
•		5805			
•	OR:				
•		\$380 - Base			
•	Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient	3300 - Base			
1					
•		0			
•					
•		\$50			
1					
1					
•		\$83,373 - Base -			
•		1200 & 3000			
1		1200 & 3000			
i		¢2.500 Special			
1		\$2,500 – Special			
1		Education			
•					
1		0			
i					
LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
-	Outcome				
		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			

# Truancy rate Decrease truancy rate by .2% Student satisfaction surveys Increase student satisfaction survey results by .5% California Healthy Kids Survey Improve healthy habits and school climate as determined by the **Expected Annual** California Healthy Kids Survey by .5% . Measurable Outcomes: Suspension rate Maintain suspension rate **Expulsion rate** Maintain expulsion Increase in high school graduation rates for all students by 2% High school graduation rates Maintain high school graduation rates for Native American students

		Increase high school graduation rates for Hispani	ic students by 1%	
High school drop-out rate	Decrease in high school drop-out rate by 2%	Decrease in high school drop-out rate by 2%		
Middle school drop-out rate	Middle school drop-out rate			
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Develop and administer student satisfaction surveys  Administer California Healthy Kids Survey, both the core and Humboldt County module, in all pertinent grades  Phone parents when students are absent using an automated system  Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as needed  Employ school counselor to provide academic, college and career and social/emotional counseling  Hold Student Study Teams to meet the needs of students  Track attendance, graduation, suspension and expulsion rates	LEA-wide	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$600 – Base – 5805  \$380 - Base  0  \$50  \$84,542 – Base – 1200 & 3000  \$2,500 – Special Education  0	
		Related State and/or L	Local Priorities:	
GOAL:  4. Increase parent/community involvement for the purpose of enhancing student learning.  4. Increase parent/community involvement for the purpose of enhancing student learning student learning.  5. COE only: 9. Local: Specify				

	44% of parents volunteered at least one	e time.				
Identified Need:	1.86% of parents completed the LCAP input survey169% of parents attended an LCAP input meeting.					
	82% of parents attended a parent/teacher conference.					
	63% of parents attended an Open House	se/Back-to-Scho	ool night event.			
Coal Applies to:	Schools: All	<u> </u>	20g 0 . 0			
Goal Applies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All					
		LCAP Y	ear 1: 2015-16			
	Metric Parent volunteer rate			Outcome Increase parent volunteer rate by 2%		
Expected Annual	Parent attendance of conferences			Increase parent attendance of parent/ teacher conferences by 2%		
Measurable Outcomes:	Parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events			Increase parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events by 2%		
	Parent satisfaction surveys			Establish a baseline of parent satisfaction as measured by parent satisfaction survey		
,	Actions/Services				Budgeted Expenditures	
Develop and administ	er parent satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$400 – Base -	
Advertise Open House, Back-to-School Night and all school events by mailing flyers, posting on our website and emailing parents			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		\$200 - Base	
Maintain school website with information pertinent to families					\$200 – Base	
Distribute monthly event calendars and newsletters to keep					\$200 – Base	

parents informed of sci	hool happenings					
Update and distribute	school handbook				\$5,000 - Base	
		LCAP Y	'ear 2: 2016-17			
	Parent volunteer rate			Outcome Increase parent volunteer rate by 2%		
Expected Annual	Parent attendance of conferences		1	Increase parent attendance of parent /teacher	conferences by 2%	
Measurable Outcomes:	Parent attendance of Open House/Rack-to-School nights, school			Increase parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events by 2%		
	Parent satisfaction surveys			Increase parent satisfaction as measured by parent satisfaction survey by 2%		
Α.	actions/Services	Scope of Service		pils to be served within identified scope of service		
Develop and administe	er parent satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL  OR:		\$500 <b>–</b> Base - 5805	
•	, Back-to-School Night and all school rs, posting on our website and		Low Income Foster Yout	e pupilsEnglish Learners thRedesignated fluent English proficient groups:(Specify)	\$200 - Base	
emailing parents			_		\$200 – Base	
Maintain school websit families	te with information pertinent to				\$200 – Base	
Distribute monthly eve parents informed of sci	ent calendars and newsletters to keep chool happenings				\$5,000 – Base - 1100	
Maintain and distribute	e school handbook	I CAP Y	<b>ear 3</b> : 2017-18			

	Parent volunteer rate			Outcome Increase parent volunteer rate by 1%	
Parent attendance of conferences  Expected Annual				Increase parent attendance of parent /teacher conferen	
Measurable Outcomes:	Parent attendance of Open House/Back-to-School nights, school events		ights, school	Increase parent attendance at Open House/Back-to-School nights and school events by 1%	
	Parent satisfaction surveys			Increase parent satisfaction as measured by par survey by 1%	ent satisfaction
Ad	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to	be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Develop and administe	r parent satisfaction surveys	LEA-wide	_X_ALL		\$600 – Base -
•	Back-to-School Night and all school s, posting on our website and		Foster You	e pupilsEnglish Learners thRedesignated fluent English proficient roups:(Specify)	\$805   \$200 - Base
Maintain school websit families	e with information pertinent to				\$200 – Base
Distribute monthly ever parents informed of sch	nt calendars and newsletters to keep nool happenings				\$200 - Base
Maintain and distribute	e school handbook				\$5,000 – Base

## **Annual Update**

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

## **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Related State and/or Local Priorities:

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal #1

Oridinal : —	nprove student performand		1_ 2_ 3 4_X 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_X COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify					
Goal Applies to	Goal Applies to:    Schools:   All   Applicable Pupil Subgroups:   All							
	Metric Summative assessments	<u>Outcome</u>		Summative assessr	ments were not tracked.			
	Semester and final grades			Semester and final	grades were not compiled.			
	Progress reports			Progress reports we	ere not tracked.			
	AP Exam participation rate	Increase AP participation rate by .5%			Exam participation was .5%. ticipation rate has not been determined			
Expected Annual	AP Exam scores of 3 or higher	Establish baseline for passing AP Exams with 3 or higher	Actual Annual Measurable	Data is not available of 2015.	e at this time. It will be determined in fall			
Measurable Outcomes:	EAP college preparedness rate	Increase college preparedness on EAP by 2%	Outcomes:		participation rate of EAP for 11 <sup>th</sup> graders udents scoring college prepared (exempt).			
	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) scores	Establish a baseline for CAHSEE pass rates in math and English/ Language Arts of Native American and Hispanic students		In 2013-2014, CAH	SEE pass rate in math was 47%. SEE pass rate in math was 55%. SEE pass rate in math was 65%.			
		spailid diaddillo		In 2013-2014, CAH	SEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. SEE pass rate in E/LA was 67%. SEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%.			

		In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate for Native American students in math was 42% and in E/LA was 27%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate for Native American students in math was 44% and in E/LA was 57%. Increase rate: math – 2%; E/LA – 13%
		In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate for Hispanic students in math was 67% and in E/LA was 50%. In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate for Hispanic students in math was 100% and in E/LA was 100%. Increase rate: math – 33%; E/LA – 50%
PSAT, SAT and ACT results	Increase of .5% of SAT, PSAT and ACT participation	In 2013-2014, 1.75% SAT participation, 0% ACT participation. In 2014-2015, 2.7% of 11 <sup>th</sup> and 12 <sup>th</sup> grade students took the SAT, 0% took the ACT, 9.02% PSAT participation. SAT rate – increase of .95%, ACT rate – maintained 0%
Academic Performance Index (API)	Establish baseline API	Data not yet complete. A baseline API will be established in the fall of 2015.
CAASPP results	Establish baseline CAASPP score for students in subgroups of Native American and Hispanic students	Data not yet complete. A baseline CAASPP score for students in subgroups of Native American and Hispanic students will be established in the fall of 2015.
	Establish baseline for all students for CAASPP	Data not yet complete. A baseline CAASPP score for all students will be established in the fall of 2015.
A-G completion rates	Establish baseline of number of students completing A-G requirements	In 2014-2015, the number of students completing A-G requirements was 4.
EL reclassification rate	Establish a baseline EL reclassification rate	In 2014-2015, no EL students were reclassified.
Share of ELs that become	Establish a baseline for the	In 2014-2015, 2 students became English proficient.

English proficient	number of ELs that become English Proficient		
	LCAP Ye	ar: 2014-15	
Planned Actions/Servi	ces	Actual Actions/Services	
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Employ highly qualified teachers	Teacher salaries/benefits - \$1,200,000, Source – Base grant;	Highly qualified teachers were employed.	\$1,471,860
Purchase CCSS aligned curriculum	Curriculum budget - \$200,000 Source - Base grant, Common Core Implementation Funds;	Common Core State Standards aligned curriculum was purchased in math for all grade levels.  \$105,193	
Provide professional development for teachers	Professional development budget - \$50,000 Source – Base grant, Common Core Implementation Funds;	All teachers attended professional development in a variety of areas, including CCSS, history, art, dance, PBIS, Second Step, Google Apps for Education, special education, etc.	\$76,686
Administer CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT, PSAT and ACT budget - \$5,0 Source – Bas grant		The CAASPP, CAHSEE, EAP, SAT and PSAT were administered. The ACT was not administered.	\$2,000
Scope of service: LEA-wide	_	Scope of service: LEA-wide	

X_ALL  OR:     _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners     _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient     _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Employ school counselor	Counselor salary/benefits - \$60,000 Source - Supplemental grant;	A school counselor was employed. She worked with all high school students and focused on academic and social/emotional counseling.	\$85,385
Provide backpacks and supplies  Provide internet services	School supply budget - \$40,000 Source – Supplemental grant;	Backpacks and supplies were purchased and will be distributed to students within the unduplicated count. Bus tickets were also provided to students needing transportation for educational purposes.	\$50,592
Provide laptops  For all Goals 1-4	Technology budget - \$20,000 Source – Supplemental grant	Internet service and laptops were provided to students without previous access.	\$43,090
Scope of service: ALL  OR: X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Scope of service: ALL  OR:X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-
Employ highly qualified teachers with CLAD certification	Teacher salary/benefits - \$180,000 Source - Supplemental grant;  Professional development -	Teachers with CLAD certification were employed and worked directly with EL students.  Teachers without CLAD certification were offered the opportunity to receive professional development in order to	\$146,217

	\$15,000 Source - Supplemental grant;	receive their CLAD certification, but none volunteered.
Offer all information in variety of languages	Printing budget - \$2000 Source – Supplemental grant;	School forms were produced in Spanish. Many were created at no cost due to the forms being available in Spanish through CDE.
Allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		A meeting was held for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs. Unfortunately, none attended.
Purchase EL curriculum	EL curriculum budget - \$5,000 Source – Supplemental grant;	No curriculum was purchased with supplemental/concentration funds.
Provide internet services; Provide laptops  For all Goals 1-4	Technology budget - \$20,000 Source – Supplemental grant	Laptops and internet service was provided for students without access in order for them to access educational opportunities. \$43,090
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide
ALL		ALL
OR:Low Income pupils X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:  _Low Income pupils X_English Learners  _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)
Employ school counselor	Counselor salary/benefits - \$60,000 Source - Supplemental grant;	Counselor was employed. She counseled foster and homeless youth in both academic and social/emotional areas. \$85,835
	Professional	Foster Youth liaison, counselor and teachers received \$95

	development - \$15,000 Source - Supplemental grant;	professional develop		
Provide backpacks and school supplies  Provide home supplies	School supply budget - \$40,000 Source — Supplemental grant; Home supply budget - \$10,000	Backpacks, school and home supplies were purchased. Bus tickets were purchased for transportation for educational purposes.		\$50,592
Provide internet convices	Source – Supplemental grant;	Lantana and internet	¢42,000	
Provide internet services  Provide laptops  For all Goals 1-4	Technology budget - \$20,000 Source - Supplemental grant	Laptops and internet services were provided to students without access.		\$43,090
Scope of service: LEA-wide	grant	Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
ALL		ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		OR:	English Learners	
X Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
Offer all information in variety of languages	Printing budget - \$2,000 Source – Supplemental grant;	School forms were produced in Spanish. Many were created at no cost due to the forms being available in Spanish through CDE.		0
Allow for opportunity for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs		A meeting was held for EL parents to give feedback about EL programs. Unfortunately, none attended.		0
Employ highly qualified teachers with CLAD certification	Teacher salary/benefits -	Highly qualified teach employed.	ners with CLAD certification were	\$146,217

Administer CELDT	\$180,000 Source - Supplemental grant;	The CELDT was administered.	\$100
	Professional development - \$15,000 Source – Supplemental grant;	CELDT training was provided so the counselors could administer the CELDT test to the EL population.	0
	EL curriculum budget - \$5,000 Source – Supplemental grant;	No curriculum was purchased with supplemental/concentration funds.	0
Provide internet services; Provide laptops  For all Goals 1-4	Technology budget - \$20,000 Source – Supplemental grant	Internet service and laptops were provided.	\$43,090
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
ALL		_ALL	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

Local assessment data will be removed from future LCAP metrics due to the lack of established local benchmarks and assessments. CAASPP baseline data will be established. API baseline will be established. The ACT will be administered. Continued purchase of CCSS aligned curriculum as it becomes available. Continued professional development in CCSS for teachers.

For all goals 1-4:

Continued professional development for staff in regard to foster youth. An increase in focus for CLAD certification for current teachers. The printing expenditures for translation of forms will be reduced. EL curriculum will be purchased.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: Goal #2

Ensure that all Mattole Valley Charter School students have ready access and ample opportunity to learn in environments that reflect 21st century learning.

Related State and/or Local Priorities:

1\_X 2\_X 3\_ 4\_ 5\_ 6\_ 7\_X 8\_

COE only: 9\_\_ 10\_\_

Local: Specify Schools: All Goal Applies to: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: ΑII In 2012-2013, 1.61% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders took the AP Metric Outcome AP Exam rate Increase AP Exam Exam. In 2013-2014, AP Exam participation was .5%. participation rate by .5% The 2014-2015 participation rate has not been determined. **EAP College Preparedness** Increase of 2% of students In 2014-2015, the participation rate of EAP for 11<sup>th</sup> graders rates taking the EAP was 3.5% with 0 students scoring college prepared (exempt). Increase CAHSEE pass rate CAHSEE scores in math by 3% In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 47%. In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 55%. Increase CAHSEE pass rate in English/ In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in math was 65%. Language Arts by 2% Increase rate: 10% Expected **Actual Annual** Annual Measurable In 2012-2013, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. Measurable In 2013-2014, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 67%. Outcomes: Outcomes: In 2014-2015, CAHSEE pass rate in E/LA was 73%. Increase rate: 6% In 2012-2013, 2.98% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12 graders took the SAT, 0% SAT, PSAT and ACT results Increase of .5% of SAT, **PSAT** and ACT participation took the ACT. In 2013-2014, 1.75% SAT participation, 0% ACT participation. In 2014-2015, 2.7% of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students took the SAT, 0% took the ACT, 9.02% PSAT participation. SAT rate – increase of .95%, ACT rate – maintained 0% Data not yet complete. A baseline CAASPP score for all **CAASPP** results Establish baseline for students will be established in the fall of 2015. **CAASPP** 

A-G completion		n a baseline number		In 2014-2015, the number of students comp	oleting A-G
		nts completing uirements		requirements was 4.	
Student access enrollment in all areas of study	required student	n a baseline of access and int in all required study		In 2014-2015, the percentage of students we enrolled in all required areas of study was f	
Rate of teacher misassignment		n a baseline of who are ined		In 2014-2015, the number of teachers who was 3.	were misassigned
Student access standards-aligne instructional ma	ed student	n a baseline for access to standards- nstructional		In 2014-2015, the percentage of students vestandards-aligned instructional materials we	
Implementation students, includi		olement math and SS for all students		In 2014-2015, CCSS for math and E/LA wa	s 100%.
Facilities in good		n a baseline for n of all facilities as		In 2014-2015, 100% of facilities were class repair based on a facilities inspection tool.	ified as in good
		LCAP Ye	<b>ar</b> : 2014-15		
Planned	Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Dudgeted			Estimated Actual

#### Budgeted Annual Expenditures Expenditures \$1,471,860 Employ highly qualified teachers Highly qualified teachers were employed. Teacher salaries/benefits - \$1,200,000 Source – Base grant; Technology was purchased for instruction, including computers, tablets, smart white boards, document cameras Integrate technology into instructional program Technology \$181,555 budget - \$50,000 Source – Base and projectors. grant, Common

	Implementation Funds			\$2,000
Offer a broad range of courses including AP and	A-G	New A-G courses were adde syllabi were submitted to the development was given to the regarding A-G courses and C	e counselors and teachers	\$2,000
Offer college and career readiness opportunities			P, SAT and PSAT were not administered. Counselors elopment in college and career	
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wi	ide	
X_ALL		_X_ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			lish Learners ated fluent English proficient )	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?  Continued professional development for teacher m Continued allocations to college and career opporting goals 1-4.				
Original Goal #3		Related State and/or	Local Priorities:	
GOAL Improve school climate to pr support student engagemen	tive, safe environment to	1 2 3 4 5_		
year		COE only: 9	<del></del>	
LCAP: Local: Specify				
Goal Applies to: Schools: All	roups: All			
Applies to. Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				

	Metric Attendance rate	Outcome Maintain attendance rate		In 2014-2015, attendance rates were 100% and were maintained.
	Chronic absenteeism rate	Establish a baseline for chronic absenteeism		As an independent study charter school, it was determined that chronic absenteeism is not a relevant metric.
	High school graduation rates	Increase in high school graduation rates by 2%		In 2012-2013, the high school graduation rate was 88%. In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate was 93%. The increase rate was 5.
		Establish a baseline for high school graduation rates for Native American students		In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate for Native American students was 100%.
Expected		Establish a baseline for high school graduation rates for Hispanic students	Actual	In 2013-2014, the high school graduation rate for Hispanic students was 83%.
Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Truancy rate	Decrease truancy rate by .2%	Annual Measurable Outcomes:	In 2012-2013, the truancy rate was 2.86%. In 2013-2014, the truancy rate was 6.35%. In 2014-2015, the truancy rate was 7.2%. This was an increase of .85%.
	High school drop-out rate	Decrease in high school drop-out rate by 2%		In 2012-2013, the high school drop-out rate was 21%. In 2013-2014, the high school drop-out rate was 26.1%. This was an increase of 5.1%.
	Student satisfaction surveys	Establish a baseline for student satisfaction survey		Student satisfaction survey was not distributed. Baseline will be established in the 2015-2016 school year.
	California Healthy Kids Survey	Establish a baseline for California Healthy Kids Survey		Data is not yet available. Baseline will be established in the 2015-2016 school year.
	Suspension rates	Decrease in suspension rates by .3%		In 2012-2013, the suspension rate was .3%. In 2013-2014, the suspension rate was .3%. In 2014-2015, the suspension rate was .8% This is an increase of .5%.

Expulsion rates	Maintain	expulsion rate		In 2013-2014, the expulsion rate was 0%. In 2014-2015, the expulsion rate was 0%. Expulsion rate maintained.					
Middle school drop-out ra		a baseline of chool drop-out rate		In 2014-2015, the middle school drop-out rate was 0%.					
LCAP Year: 2014-15									
Planned Actions	Services		Actual Actions/Services						
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures				
Develop and administer student satisfaction surveys Printing budge			Satisfaction surveys were not developed and administered. 0		0				
Administer California Healthy Kids Survey	Postage budget; - \$1000 Source - Base grant;	California Healthy Kids Survey, the core and Humboldt County modules, was administered to all pertinent grade		\$380					
Phone parents when students are absent			levels.						
Mail truancy notices and follow SARB procedures as			Parents were phoned when students were absent.		0				
needed Employ special education teachers		Special Education salaries/benefits \$300,000 Source - Base grant and Special Education Funds;	Truancy notices were mailed.		\$26				
			Special education	n teachers were employed.	\$356,781				
Employ school counselor		Counselor salary/benefits - \$40,000 Source – Base grant	School counselor was employed and worked with all high school students on academic counseling and elementary students in social/emotional counseling, including group Second Step lessons.  Student Study Teams were held to support students.		\$41,459				
Hold Student Study Teams					0				
Track attendance, graduation, suspension and expulsion			Attendance, grad	uation, suspension and expulsion rates	0				

rates					were tracked.			
Scope of	LEA-wide			Scope of	LEA-wide			
service:				service:				
X_ALL					X_ALL			
OR:					OR:	–		
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient				Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient				
Other Subgroups:(Specify)				Other Subgroups:(Specify)				
What changes in actions, services, Mattole Valley Charter School is an ir								
				arent satisfaction surveys will be developed and administered. A baseling will be established. In addition, all changes as stated above for all goals				
result of reviewing past progress for the CA Healthy Kids Survey and/or changes to goals?				Will be established	ca. III addition, all	i changes as stated ab	ove for all goals	
anu/oi	Glai	iges to goals?						
Original	Goal	<u>#4</u>			F		Related State and/or	Local Priorities:
GŎAL		ease parent/ community	involve	ment for the purpos	se of enhancing	student	1 <u>     2</u> 3 <u>   X</u> 4 <u>       5</u>	6 7 8
from prior learning.						COE only: 9		
year						Local : Specify	<u> </u>	
LCAP:							Loodi : Opcony	
Goal Applies to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All								
			Г					
	D.	<u>Metric</u> arent volunteer rate	Outcome Establish a baseline of			A40/ of a secretary short and at least and Con-		
	' '	parent volunteer rate parent volunteer rate			44% of parents volunteered at least one time.			
			·		Actual Annual Measurable	1.86% of parents completed the LCAP input survey169% of parents attended an LCAP input meeting.		
Expected		Parent LCAP input surveys and attendance of LCAP input meetings						
Annual								meeting.
Measurable	е							
Outcomes:		Parent attendance of conferences		Establish a baseline of parent/	Outcomes:	82% of parents attended a parent/teacher conference.		conference.
				conferences				
						63% of parents attended an Open House/Back-to-School night event.		ack-to-School
		Parent attendance of Open House/Back-to-School Establish a baseline of parent attendance at Open					ack-to-School	

	ights, school events Parent satisfaction survey	nights and Increase p as measur	ck-to-School d school events parent satisfaction red by parent n survey by 2%		Data will be collected in 2015-2016.			
<b>LCAP Year</b> : 2014-15								
	Planned Actions/Serv	vices		Actual Actions/Services				
			Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Develop and administer parent satisfaction surveys  Advertise Open House, Back-to-Night and all school events			School Printing budget; Postage budget- \$2,500 Source – Base grant;	Parent satisfaction be developed and School events wer flyers and emails.	\$150			
Maintain school website			Website budget - \$1,000 Source – Base grant	The school website posted.	\$200			
Distribute monthly event calendars Distribute newsletters				Monthly event cale informing parents	\$100			
Maintain and distribute school handbook				A school handbool distributed in the 2	0			
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide			
X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)				X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?  Parent satisfaction surveys will be created and distributed. Greater focus is needed to increase parent participation. The school handbook will be completed and distributed. In addition, all changes as stated above for all goals 1-4.								

### Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

#### Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:

819,302

The increase in funds for the 2014-2015 fiscal year based on the unduplicated number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5) is \$819,302.

This increase in funds will be used for the employment of highly qualified teachers with CLAD certification; employing instructional aides; the employment school counselors; providing backpacks, school supplies and necessary home supplies; providing internet services and laptops.

Because MVCS has more than 55% of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district, the supplemental and concentration funds will be used charter-wide.

Currently, the supplemental/concentration grant funds are not all accounted for in the 2015-2016 LCAP. Certificated and classified staff salaries and benefits that are currently accounted for in the base grant (resource 0000) will be transferred to the supplemental/concentration grant (0001) after adoption.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

# 15.73 %

Using the FCMAT calculator, the minimum proportionality percentage for MVCS is a 15.73% increase of services provided to unduplicated students.

The proportionality percentage is met with an increase of student access to technology and an increase of access to time with a highly qualified teacher and support staff.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

### LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]